Inosine Pranobex (Isoprinosine) – a Potential Adjuvant in the Management of Chronic HBV Infection
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Abstract

After achieving a durable on-treatment virological response with NUC (nucleos(t)ide analogues), adding an immunomodulating agent seems to have beneficial effect on the course of chronic HBV disease. Methods: Nine patients were investigated (5 – HBeAg(-) and 4 – HBeAg(+)). All patients achieved durable on-treatment virological response with NA and then Isoprinosine was added for a period of 21 to 27 months. Serum HBsAg and HBV DNA levels were evaluated at a 3-month interval. Aim: The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of adjuvant immunomodulation with Isoprinosine in patients with chronic HBV infection who achieved durable suppression of HBV DNA on-treatment with NUC. Results: In HBeAg-negative patients an initial increase of qHBsAg was observed in 4/5 of patients after the adding of Isoprinosine. On month 15 of combined therapy a reduction of more than 50% from the baseline HBsAg level was found in 4/5 of patients (P=0.043). In HBeAg-positive patients there was no significant reduction of HBsAg during the follow-up. A reduction of HBsAg levels (about 30%) from the baseline was established in 3/4 patients at month 18. In the remaining one patient a reduction of 70% was established at month 27. There was an initial increase of HBsAg in 3/4 patients. In 3/4 of the patients there was a negativation of HBeAg. Conclusion: After adding Isoprinosine to NA, HBeAg-loss was achieved in 3/4 of HBeAg positive patients. HBsAg decline was more pronounced in HBeAg-negative subjects, which was not observed in NUC monotherapy. Isoprinosine in combination with NUC is safe and well tolerated.
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Background

The ideal treatment end point is loss of HBsAg in both HBeAg-positive and negative patients, but it is rarely achievable with available therapy (time limited interferon therapy (Peg-IFN) and long-term treatment with nucleos(t)ide analogues (NUC). Induction of durable HBeAg loss and seroconversion to anti-HBe (in about 18 to 30% of HBeAg-positive patients depending on therapy) and sustained off-therapy virological suppression of HBV DNA is a satisfactory end point, because it has been shown to be associated with improved prognosis (1).

The template of hepatitis B virus (HBV) transcription is the covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA), which plays a key role in the life cycle of the virus and permits the persistence of infection. The persistence of cccDNA in the nucleus of infected hepatocytes, may explain HBV reactivation after discontinuation of anti-viral therapy (2,3).

Moreover, the HBV genome integrates into the host genome and might favour oncogenesis and the development of HCC (4,5).

Continued treatment with NA suppresses HBV DNA, but when it can be stopped is not yet forthcoming (6). After discontinuation of therapy often a rapid reactivation occurs with an increase of serum HBeAg and HBV DNA, clinical exacerbation of hepatitis, liver failure and even death (7). Krastev et al. showed three cases of attempts to prevent such reactivation after stopping lamivudine by using interferon or inosine pranobex (Isoprinosine) (8).

New drugs based on immunomodulation are being developed such as different therapeutic vaccines and TLR agonists (9). The results from add-on Peg-IFN or other immunomodulators to NUC are limited and controversial (10-15).

After achieving a durable on-treatment virological response with NA (undetectable serum HBV DNA levels), quantitative HBsAg (qHBsAg) is an important marker for the course of the disease (16). In these cases the adding of an immunomodulating agent seems to have beneficial effect on the course of chronic HBV disease. Such an immunomodulator is Isoprinosine. Our preliminary results with add-on Isoprinosin to NUC were promising both in HBeAg-positive and HBeAg-negative subjects (17, 18).

Inosine pranobex (Isoprinosine) is a purine analogue with immunomodulating and antiviral ability, including IFN-gamma production and enhanced T-cell immune response (19,20). Various studies discuss its possible role in the treatment of chronic HBV infection (8, 21, 22). Isoprinosine is used in protracted forms of acute hepatitis A and B, as well as CMV-induced hepatitis (23,24). According to Krastev Z., one day Isoprinosine intake led to increase in lymphocyte count in healthy people (25).

There are no sufficient data for the use of immunomodulating agents in HBV therapy, especially adding it to NA.

Aim

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of adjuvant immunomodulation with Isoprinosine in patients with chronic HBV infection who achieved durable suppression of HBV DNA on-treatment with NUC, but who were still HBeAg-positive and/or with steady serum HBsAg levels during NUC monotherapy
Methods

Patients and Treatment
Nine patients were investigated (5 pts HBeAg-negative - males (4-CHB and 1 with Child-A liver cirrhosis) and 4 pts HBeAg-positive (all with CHB, one female). Eight patients were treated long-term with tenofovir and 1–with lamivudine (the patient with liver cirrhosis) (Table 1.). HCV, HDV and HIV co-infections were excluded prior to therapy. All patients achieved durable on-treatment virological response and then inosine pranobex in a dose of 3g p.o. daily (5 days weekly) was added to NA for 21 to 27 months. Serum HBsAg and HBV DNA levels were evaluated on a 3-month interval.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the studied patients (before adding Isoprinosine)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All patients</th>
<th>HBe-positive</th>
<th>HBe-negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of pts</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age – median, range</td>
<td>37 (26-56)</td>
<td>32 (26-40)</td>
<td>51 (37-56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male /female (n)</td>
<td>8/1</td>
<td>3/1</td>
<td>5/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBsAg IU/ml – median, range</td>
<td>(291 – 21870)</td>
<td>(2551-21870)</td>
<td>(291-11564)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic hepatitis /F 0-3 Metavir/</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver cirrhosis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Methods

HsAg quantification – HBsAg levels were measured by the fully automated Roche® diagnostic test and the results were expressed as IU/ml. This is an electrochemiluminescence immunoassay.

HBV-DNA measurement. HBV DNA quantitation was done on patient’s serum (500 μl) using LightCycler real time PCR assay (Roche Diagnostics). Results were expressed as IU/ml.

Hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) and antibody against HBeAg (anti-HBe) status was determined using enzyme immunoassays.

Standard laboratory methods were used for the assessment of blood chemistry parameters. The values of ALT <40 U/ml were accepted for normal according to the laboratory report.

Liver biopsies. Pre-treatment liver biopsy applying Menghini’s method was performed in 7 patients and evaluated by using METAVIR system. Two patients without biopsy were with proved liver cirrhosis (F4).

Statistical methods. Standard statistical analyses were performed using SPSS® v. 17.0. Individual characteristics between groups were evaluated by means of the Wilcoxon and Mann-Whitney. All reported P values are two-sided, and P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Written informed consent was obtained from each participating patient.

Results

Fifteen months after adding Isoprinosine to NUC we observed a significant reduction of HBsAg levels (P=0.012).
HBeAg – negative patients
Initial increase of qHBsAg was observed in 4/5 of patients after the adding of inosine pranobex (3, 6 or 9 months). On the 15th month of combined therapy a reduction of more than 50% from the baseline HBsAg level was found in 4/5 of patients (P=0.043). All patients remained aviremic with normal ALT during therapy. There was also a statistical difference between basal HBsAg levels and those at month 21 (P=0.043, Wilcoxon) (Fig.1 A and B).

Fig. 1 A. HBsAg levels during Isoprinosine intake in HBeAg-negative patients with durable on-treatment virologic response (nucleos(t)ide analogues) (HBVDNA – negative). (patients 1 and 2)

Fig. 1 B. HBsAg levels during Isoprinosine intake in HBeAg-negative patients with durable on-treatment virologic response (nucleos(t)ide analogues) (HBV DNA – negative). (patients 3, 4 and 5).
Case presentation of two HBeAg-negative patients (from the observed group)

1st case:

The studied patient was a 56 years old man with a known HBV-infection for >20 years. Child- cirrhosis was proved 10-year ago. He was HBeAg(-)/anti-HBe(+), without HCV, HDV and HIV co-infections. Lamivudine was started due to high viral load, jaundice, and elevated aminotransferases. After the first treatment year, HBV DNA was persistently undetectable. Two years post initiation of lamivudin, Peg-IFN-α2a was added (beucase of the low HBsAg levels – 135 IU/ml) for 6 months and a gradual rise of HBsAg (from 135 to 5600 IU/ml) and ALT (115 U/ml) was observed. Peg-IFN-α2a was discontinued and two months later the patient was with normal aminotransferases and decreased HBsAg. After 9 months another immunomodulator (Isoprinosine) was added. Six months later HBsAg rose again, but only up to 700 IU/ml and without ALT-elevation. Combined treatment was continued and HBsAg level progressively decreased to 206 at month 27. Therapy was well tolerated and no side effects were observed (Fig.2).

Fig. 2. Long-term monitoring during different treatment regimens (Lamivudine - Peg-IFN-alfa 2a and Lamivudine - Isoprinosine)

2nd case:

A 37 years old male with anti-HBe(+) chronic hepatitis B (METAVIR A2; F2) started tenofovir treatment. He was non-responder to previous Peg IFN α2a therapy and was negative for anti-HCV, anti-HDV and anti-HIV. At treatment month12 HBV DNA was undetectable and HBsAg levels were 13 000 IU/ml, and at month18 HBsAg levels were 10 300 IU/ml. Then (at month 18) Isoprinosine was added to tenofovir. Three months later HBsAg level was 9700 IU/ml and isoprinosine was discontinued. An elevation (rebound) of HBsAg level to 11546 IU/ml was observed after 3 months without isoprinosine, but subject remained aviremic on tenofovir monotherapy. Isoprinosine was re-started and this led to repeating decrease of HBsAg level to 9030 IU/ml. Treatment with both drugs was continued and HBsAg level progressively decreased to 5564 IU/ml at month 6, 3381 (month 12) and 2513 (month 24) post second initiation of Isoprinosine (patient 3 from Figure 1 B, Figure 3).
Fig. 3. HBsAg levels during Isoprinosine intake in patient (№3, 2nd case) with rebound of HBsAg after its discontinuation and a progressive reduction after a second initiation.

**HBeAg-positive patients**

In HBeAg-positive patients there was no significant reduction of HBsAg from the baseline to month 15 to 21 (P>0.05). A reduction of HBsAg levels (about 30% from the baseline) was established in 3/4 patients at month 18. In the remaining one patient a reduction of 70% was established at month 27. There was an initial increase of HBsAg (months 3 to 6) in 3/4 patients (Fig.4 A and B).

Fig. 4 A. HBsAg levels during Isoprinosine intake in HBeAg–positive patients with durable on-treatment virological response (nucleos(t)ide analogues) (HBVDNA – negative). (patients 1 and 2)
Fig. 4 B. HBsAg levels during Isoprinosine intake in HBeAg–positive patients with durable on-treatment virological response (nucleos(t)ide analogues) (HBVDNA – negative), (patients 3 and 4)

Three out of four HBeAg-positive patients became HBeAg-negative during combined therapy – immunomodulator (Isoprinosine) and NUC (Table 2.).

Table 2. Characteristics of patients who lost HBeAg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Stage of fibrosis</th>
<th>NUC</th>
<th>HBeAg-negativation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(period of combined regime)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>F4 (Cirrhosis)</td>
<td>Tenofiv</td>
<td>15th month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tenofiv</td>
<td>6th week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tenofiv</td>
<td>3rd month</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the 1st patient (40 years old male, with cirrhosis) – this negativation was achieved at the 15th month, but he remained anti-HBeAg-negative (patient 3 from figure 3-B).

The 2nd patient (35 years old male, METAVIR A3; F3, patient 2 from figure 3-A), six weeks after the adding of Isoprinosine, lost HBeAg without seroconversion. Nine months after Isoprinosine initiation he remained HBeAg(-). On the 12th month of combined therapy anti-HBeAg-antibodies appeared. HBsAg level dropped from 21870 IU/ml to 10689 IU/ml (12th month). After that the patient was HBeAg–negative and antiHBe-negative. Presently (27th month) the patient is in the same HBeAg status, and HBsAg dropped to 6646 IU/ml.

The 3rd patient who lost HBeAg is a 29 years old male (F 1) receiving a tenofovir monotherapy (300 mg/d) for 8 years, but remained HBeAg positive (this is patient 4 from figure 3-B). Isoprinosine was added to tenofovir. Three months later the patient became HBeAg(-)/anti-HBe(-). Fifteen months after the adding of Isoprinosine he remained HBeAg-negative. The levels of HBsAg were without significant changes.
Isoprinosine was well tolerated and no side effects were observed during combination with NUC both in HBeAg-negative and in HBeAg-positive patients. A mild elevation of serum uric acid level was observed in one patient, but was not associated with any clinical symptoms.

Discussion

A problem inherent to all current HBV therapies is their inability to achieve a curative outcome. HBsAg clearance and development of anti-HBs occurs in only a minority of cases not exceeding 8% after both Peg-IFN and NUC therapy (1,9). Durable HBV DNA suppression with NUC is only possible with continued treatment for many years. It leads to functional and morphological improvement of liver disease, but the effect is lasting only during NUC therapy. Usually, HBV reactivation rapidly occurs after treatment discontinuation (26).

The persistence of cccDNA in the nucleus of infected hepatocytes is the reason for the failure of HBV eradication. NUC are potent inhibitors of HBV replication, but they have only a weak effect on cccDNA, which is not enough to eradicate it (27). Theoretically, the latter is achievable through both inhibiting the formation of cccDNA and enhancing the clearance of infected hepatocytes by immune-mediated mechanisms. These facts strongly suggest that the combination of direct acting antivirals with immunomodulators is a reasonable future treatment approach (9).

We therefore decided to add a drug with proven immunomodulatory properties to ongoing NUC therapy in subjects who already achieved sustained viral suppression on NUC monotherapy, but remained HBeAg-positive and/or with steady HBsAg levels. For the discussed purpose Isoprinosine is an appropriate agent due to its immunomodulatory action. We recently showed that Isoprinosine can induce rapid immune response in healthy adults within 9 hours after single-day intake (25). It enhanced T-cell immune response by increasing T lymphocyte proliferation and NK cell activity (28). In addition Isoprinosine enhanced IL-2 production, upregulating the expression of IL-2 receptor in vitro (19). It also significantly increased the IFN-gamma secretion and decreased the IL-4 production (19). Treatment (of human PBMC) with Isoprinosine enhanced IL-2 production by PBMC from 7 of 10 normal individuals (29). Our previous study on healthy volunteers also showed that Isoprinosine treatment leads to increase in serum levels of IFN-γ, IL-2, IL-10, and TNF-α at 7th to 10th day (20).

Even though the number of patients was limited, the present study demonstrates for the first time the beneficial effect of Isoprinosine in patients with durable viral suppression on NUC therapy. We observed a significant decline in HBsAg levels in 15 to 27 months after initiation of Isoprinosine, which was more pronounced in HBeAg-negative subjects in whom HBsAg levels have not decreased during NA monotherapy. Furthermore, ¾ of HBeAg-positive patients lost HBeAg without seroconversion.

Previous studies evaluating the HBsAg kinetics in both HBeAg-negative and HBeAg-patients clearly demonstrated that HBsAg level remains steady even after successful viral suppression with NUC for many years (30-32) In HBeAg-positive CHB a rapid HBsAg decline was described only in a minority of patients who are with high baseline ALT and HBsAg level. It occurs within the first 6 months of NUC therapy. In cases without subsequent HBsAg clearance, HBsAg titer remains relatively stable after the first year of NUC therapy or decreases very slowly, so HBsAg loss rarely occurs (30-32). Mathematical models showed that the majority of patients will need more than 3 or 4 decades of NUC therapy for HBsAg seroclearance (31).

We believe that the described reduction of qHBsAg in our study is strongly related to adjuvant immunomodulation. HBsAg levels were relatively stable 6 months prior to initiation of Isoprinosine. The effect of immunomodulation is very demonstrative in subject #3 (figure 1-B and figure 3). In this patient Isoprinosine led to initial HBsAg decline. Interruption of immunomodulation was associated with elevation of qHBsAg, which again dropped down after reintroduction of Isoprinosine therapy.
Interestingly, in 7/9 of patients an initial elevation of HBsAg level was found, which occurred 3 to 9 months after the adding of Isoprinosine. Prolongation of Isoprinosine led to further HBsAg decline and reaching levels lower than baseline. Recent studies with add-on Peg-IFN to NUC also described similar initial elevation of HBsAg level in some subjects (11, 14). Moreover, in the present study we observed a similar pattern of HBsAg kinetics after consecutive adding of two different immunomodulators: Peg-IFN and Isoprinosine (figure 2). Together all these data clearly show that the adding of immunomodulators to NUC may cause a transient elevation of qHBsAg and ALT; but prolongation of therapy is associated with further HBsAg decline and may improve the course of chronic liver disease. It seems that Peg-IFN induces more severe ALT elevation than Isoprinosine (figure 2). We recently found such an elevation of qHBsAg 3 months post initiation of NUC monotherapy in ¼ of patients with further HBsAg decline in HBeAg-positive subjects – data in press (33). The reason for the reported early elevation of qHBsAg remains unclear. One can speculate that this may be due to release of HBsAg after enhanced clearance of infected hepatocytes as a result of restoration of host immune response against HBV. However, we did not observe always parallel elevation of ALT levels, so further studies are needed to elucidate this phenomenon.

The role of host immune response for HBsAg clearance was recently reported by J. Jaroszewicz et al. (34) who showed that high baseline interferon-inducible protein-10 (IP-10) levels as well as elevation of baseline ALT >5x predicts HBsAg-loss during NUC therapy. As HBsAg seroclearance remains a rare event with NA, the adding of immunomodulators to NUC is an essential therapeutic strategy to increase this important event. Current data with add-on of Peg-IFN are contradictory. Early studies were not successful enough, but in all of them the added Peg-IFN was given in a short course of 24 weeks (10,11). On the other hand, extension of added Peg-IFN for up to 96 weeks in accordance with changes in HBsAg titers in a small series of HBeAg-negative subjects was associated with HBsAg-loss in 6 out of 10 patients (14). This treatment approach is currently under investigation and the interim data of a larger study with a similar design are also promising, but the trial is still ongoing (15). In the present study we also used long-term course of Isoprinosine added to NUC for 15 to 27 months. It seems that better treatment results could be achieved with prolongation of combined therapy. This hypothesis is based on recent suggestion that sustained inhibition of viral replication could facilitate the effect of Peg-IFN and other immunomodulators (14). Several novel immune modulatory agents, like different therapeutic vaccines or toll-like-receptor agonists, are currently in clinical development (9). The design of future clinical trials should be focused on elucidating the optimal duration of these new drugs in combination with direct antiviral agents.

It is unclear whether the immunotherapy should be added after achieving the undetectable serum HBV DNA on NA therapy or the two drugs should be started together. A recent trial reported a higher rate of HBsAg-loss after combined treatment with Peg IFN plus tenofovir vs. Peg IFN monotherapy (35). In contrast early trials with less potent NUCs (lamivudine and adefovir) failed to demonstrate any advantage of combined treatment versus Peg IFN alone (36, 37).

Measurement of HBsAg during NUC after achievement of persistently undetectable serum HBV DNA and normal ALT may provide essential additional information for the course of chronic liver disease. However, from a diagnostic perspective, it is important that HBsAg quantification detects all three forms of circulating HBsAg: mature HBV virions as well as two types of non-infectious subviral particles - spherical and filamentous forms. Both spherical and filamentous forms of HBsAg are secreted at levels far in excess of mature virions. HBsAg may also be produced from HBV DNA integrated into the host genome (38). Current assays target epitopes in the S protein, and are therefore not capable of distinguishing between the different HBsAg proteins, nor can they distinguish between virion-associated HBsAg, subviral particles and HBsAg produced from integrated sequence (38). With regard to this new surrogate markers are needed that reflect better the interaction between cccDNA in the liver and host immune response against
HBV. This could help to improve current knowledge on HBV basic science and thus to develop more successful and curable treatment strategies of chronic HBV infection.

**Conclusion**

This is the first report of successful decrease of qHBsAg in patients treated with NA by using an immunomodulator distinct from IFN. HBeAg-loss was achieved in ¾ of HBeAg positive patients. HBsAg decline was more pronounced in HBeAg-negative subjects, which was not observed in NUC monotherapy. Isoprinosine in combination with NUC is safe and well tolerated. The reduction of cccDNA in infected hepatocytes is achievable only through immune-mediated mechanisms and the combined treatment with NUC and Isoprinosine can be a helpful therapeutic approach. This treatment is safe and well tolerated. Further studies are needed to define more accurately the efficacy and safety profile of this combination.
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