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Abstract

Regenerative medicine is based on advanced research in biomaterials one of which is dentin autograft, which can have a major impact on the patient’s treatment plan and for improving the quality of life.

This review has been designed to investigate the effects of alveolar ridge preservation of alveolar bone with dentin autograft. The success of implant-supported restorations depends on the interaction between a number of anatomical, technical, surgical and prosthetic factors. Restorative-driven implant placement allows the optimal support of the surrounding soft and hard tissues and a satisfactory emergence profile of the final prosthesis. Ridge preservation is any procedure that takes place at the time of, or shortly after an extraction, prior or simultaneously with implant placement to minimise resorption of the ridge and maximise bone formation within the socket.

Alveolar ridge preservation procedures with dentin autograft may decrease the need for further ridge augmentation during implant placement in comparison with u
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Background

Exodontia is indicated when a tooth has a very poor prognosis and cannot be restored/maintained in a satisfactory condition for the patient. Alveolar bone and soft tissue remodelling is a normal physiological response following tooth extraction. These tissue changes have been recorded as leading to a 40-60% decrease in the height and the width of the residual alveolar ridge, narrowing of the keratinised mucosa and reduction in the volumetric soft tissue thickness. The resorption process varies greatly amongst individual patients and tooth position and may be affected by several factors such as the presence of infection, previous periodontal disease, the extent of a traumatic injury and the number or the thickness of the bony socket walls. An equilibrium is reached approximately 3–4 months post-extraction, resulting in a bone and soft tissue level that is lower than that of the neighbouring teeth as complete regeneration of the socket site never occurs. The reduction in horizontal alveolar bone dimensional change was found to be variable. No evidence was identified to clearly indicate the superior impact of a type of ARP intervention (GBR, socket filler and socket seal) on bone dimensional preservation, bone formation, keratinised tissue dimensions and patient complications.(1)

The status of the extraction socket, post exodontia, results in irreversible resorption of the alveolar crest and mainly buccal plate. Extraction sockets without any graft material, result in an average loss of 2.8mm of buccal-lingual width and 1.74mm in the vertical dimension in the buccal wall height.(2) Thus, it is mandatory that surgical techniques and methods to be executed in order to counteract or at least, to reduce the aforementioned crest resorption. This atrophy is especially more problematic in patients who are referred for dental implant procedure. Thus the alveolar ridge preservation procedure was created to combat this problem.

Systematic reviews have reported a weighted mean in alveolar ridge reduction in 3.87 mm in width and 1.67 mm in height (3) after 3 months of healing or a 63% and 22% dimensional loss in a horizontal and vertical plane taking place at the first 6 months after the extraction.(4) The horizontal bone loss/resorption of the socket is generally more pronounced at the buccal plate, and the vertical resorption is also more evident on the buccal contour of the ridge. (5,6)

To reduce the loss of alveolar bone to an acceptable level, several alveolar ridge preservation (ARP) techniques' have been proposed with the purpose to maintenance of the existing soft and hard tissue envelope and stable ridge volume for optimizing functional and esthetic outcomes and also the simplification of treatment procedures subsequent to the ridge preservation.

The preservation and regeneration of the gingival tissue is also important as it helps to establish an ideal functional and aesthetic foundation, before prosthetic rehabilitation occurs.(7-10) Although there is recognition that various ARP techniques can be used to preserve and promote alveolar bone and soft tissue development in the extraction socket area (11-16), heterogeneity of the published data has led, and to conclude that the clinical outcome and prosthetic options available following ARP are inconclusive. 17

The procedure of socket preservation include minimally traumatic extraction of a tooth, followed by immediate grafting of the extraction sockets using particulate bone grafts or substitutes, guided bone regeneration (GBR) with or without bone grafts or substitutes (18, 19, 20) and a socket seal technique using different tissue graft materials.(21, 22) The use of grafting materials as an adjunct to GBR or socket seal techniques is based on the assumption that this material may be useful not only in prohibiting
membrane or soft tissue graft collapse into the socket area but also in enhancing new bone formation through osteoinduction and osteoconduction.

Direct grafting and augmentation of the extraction socket has been proposed using autogenous bone (23), demineralised freeze-dried bone allograft (24, 25), mineralised freeze-dried bone allograft (26), deproteinised bovine bone (27), alloplastic polymers (28), bioactive glasses and composite ceramic materials. Although these bone substitutes were able to maintain the tissue contours in extraction sites, the conservancy of the gingival and bone tissue was variable. Marked differences in the quantity and the quality of the regenerated tissue have been reported, with the presence of the graft sometimes identified as interfering with the normal healing process. (29, 30)

Some authors develop bioabsorbable dentin materials (31), harmonized with bone remodelling, by using the supersonic and acid-etching technology.

The goal of clinicians in the regenerative field is to search biomaterials that are promoting bone growth which are also eventually being absorbed to be replaced by bone at the same time. (32) Non-absorbable materials and products are never completely replaced by bone and therefore tend to give rise to chronic inflammation as a result of the foreign body and the vitality and ‘quality of the new bone is not the same as the normal alveolar bone. (33) Autografts have been proposed as a modern solution to minimize bone resorption after extraction and enhance buccal crest dimensions both vertically and horizontally. Various grafting methods and materials are available, however, none are as straightforward and reliable as the so-called golden standard – autograft. Nonconventional autograft procedures have recently gained popularity due to their success, such as the use of autogenous auto-tooth graft materials like dentin. Allografts are also commonly used, albeit, are not as common as autografts due to the more complex nature of the procedure. (34, 35) Post-operative complications after ARP are mainly associated with soft tissue inflammation and infection.

Review results

Autogenous grafts boast all three perks of biological integration; osteogenic, osteoconductive and osteoinductive properties – whereas the xenografts and alloplastic materials have osteocondution and allografts has osteinduction and osteocuduction. The non-immunogenicity and affordability of autografts heavily outweigh the inconveniences such as, prolonged operation time and risk of donor site morbidity. On the other hand, the mechanical properties of the autografts is superior (36), and can withstand extreme mechanical forces. However, they are more difficult to shape and conform to a bony defect.

Due to the close biochemical similarities between bone and dentin; both contain 10% fluid, 18% collagen, 2% non-collagenous proteins, and 70% hydroxyapatite in weight volume. (37) Autogenous dentin is divided into the block type and powder type, and is usually obtained from extracted non-functional teeth. Once collected, the dentin is decalcified in 0.6N HCl solution (to form the demineralized dentin matrix), rinsed in cold distilled water and finally freeze dried for storage. These auto-tooth grafts are then immersed in 0.9% NaCl solution before use, for 30 minutes, and finally placed in the bony defect. Demineralized dentin matrix (DDM) is an acid insoluble, dentin collagen that is absorbable but difficult to digest by the organism. Advantages of DDM is the natural ability to coagulate blood plasmas, and the high success rate of the procedure. Additionally the procedure of PRF and PRP could be done. Blood from each patient is
centrifuged and the middle layer is used as fibrin glue (so called concentrated growth factors – CGF). A composite of DDM granules and CGF glue stimulate the regeneration of the bone and can lead to a better prognosis for dental implants. (38, 39, 40)

Allografts are also a promising variant for patients but has some disadvantages. They have proven to be brittle, poorly processable into porous form, and are unable to generate structures tailored to the specific needs of the patient. Allogenic grafts has osteoinduction properties and above the layer of allograft the clinician should put layer of xenograft material to keep the space for longer. The risk of transfer of diseases is very low.

Conclusion

In the paradigm of alveolar ridge preservation, conventional methods such as autografts and allografts with non-synthetic material have been the most popular and successful techniques. With the discovery of autogenous auto-tooth grafts, clinicians and patients can recycle non-functional or extracted teeth to fill bony defects and augment alveolar bone with very good prognosis.

References


Corresponding author:

Associate prof. Elitsa Deliverska, PhD
Faculty of Dental medicine,
Medical University Sofia
Department of Oral and Maxillofacial surgery
Sofia 1431 Str."Georgi Sofiiski"1